	Page 1
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	DELAWARE MARKETING : CA No. 04-263
3	PARTNERS, LLC, a Delaware : limited liability company,:
4	Plaintiff : JUDGE MCLAUGHLIN AND
5	: MAGISTRATE JUDGE V. : SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER
6	CREDITRON FINANCIAL :
7	SERVICES, INC., a : Pennsylvania corporation :
8	and, TELATRON MARKETING : GROUP, INC., a :
9	Pennsylvania corporation, : Defendants :
10	Deposition of ALFRED D. COVATTO, taken before
11	and by Sonya Hoffman, Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on Monday, November
12	14, 2006, commencing at 8:38 a.m., at the offices of Elderkin Martin Kelly & Messina, 150 East Eighth Street, Erie, PA 16501.
13	ource, mrre, ra robor.
14	For the Plaintiff:
15	Charles Snyderman, Esquire Charles Snyderman, PA
16	Stoney Batter Office Building 5301 Limestone Rd., Suite 214
17	Wilmington, DE 19808
18	Brett W. Farrar, Esquire
19	Brett W. Farrar, Esquire Dickie McCamey & Chilcote, P.C. Two PPG Place, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 For the Defendants: Craig A. Markham, Esquire Elderkin Martin Kelly & Messina 150 East Eighth Street Erie, PA 16501
20	
21	For the Defendants:
22	Craig A. Markham, Esquire Elderkin Martin Kelly & Messina
23	150 East Eighth Street Erie, PA 16501
24	
25	Reported by Sonya Hoffman Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc.

1

Page 6 didn't matter relative to the funds coming in from Brazos

- 2 overall to pay any expenses. They were paid just like any
- 3 other expense. It wasn't solely dependent on Brazos.
- Q. It wasn't? I'm going to show you the agreement.
- 5 There's an exhibit attached to it, if you'll please turn to
- 6 the exhibit. And you'll see on the -- if you turn to the
- 7 third page of the exhibit, there's a Paragraph No. 4 that's
- 8 entitled, Allocation of Program Revenues, correct?
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Yes, right?
- 11 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- 12 Q. What did you mean by Allocation of Program
- 13 Revenues when you drafted this?
- 14 A. When I drafted it, it meant that we would pay a
- 15 commission of 28.57 percent based on gross revenues derived
- 16 from that program.
- Q. Where does it say the word "commission" in this
- 18 agreement?
- 19 A. Well, it says commission in different places.
- 20 O. Show me.
- 21 A. Right here, commission funds.
- 22 Q. The words "commission funds" that your attorney
- 23 pointed out to you, reflects not the money that was being
- 24 paid by you to Delaware Marketing, but rather the money that
- 25 was being paid by Brazos to you, correct -- excuse me,

Page 7
please answer this question, first.
A. Yes, that's true. I didn't see that.
Q. That's right. So show me in the agreement
A. I have to review this for a minute; is that okay?
Q. Take your time, as much time as you want. Show me
in the agreement where it says that any money you're paying
to Delaware Marketing is a commission.
A. (Witness reviews document.)
Q. All right you've had is it correct that you've
had ample opportunity to look through the agreement to find
the word "commission"; is that correct?
A. I've had an opportunity to look at this, and I
don't know I can't see it in there right now.
Q. All right. And would you agree, since we're
talking about the exhibit to this agreement, under No. 4
where it says, Allocation of Program Revenues, it starts
out, "For the services provided by the parties." Who are
the parties that you intended to refer to when you drafted
this?
A. Delaware Marketing.
Q. That's the only one?
A. That's the parties. There's, let's see, maybe
three or four people there, Delaware Marketing.
Q. It says, "For the services provided by the
parties, Telatron shall distribute commission funds received

<u> </u>	
1	Page 8 as follows: Delaware Marketing shall be paid 28.57 percent
2	of the gross revenues. Such payment shall be accomplished
3	within seven days after commission funds are received from
4	the lenders. Telatron shall remit payment to Delaware
5	Marketing by wire transfer." Then it says, "Telatron shall
6	be entitled to 71.43 percent of the remaining gross
7	revenues."
8	So the remaining gross revenues, when you wrote
9	this, refers to the funds left over after the 28.57 percent
10	is paid to Delaware Marketing, right?
11	A. I've answered the question.
12	Q. Please answer this question.
13	A. What is your question?
14	MR. SNYDERMAN: Could you read it back, please.
15	(Previous question read back.)
16	A. Well, the intent of this thing was that they would
17	be entitled to 28.57 percent of the commission from this
18	program. That's what that meant.
19	Q. And Telatron then was, then, entitled to receive
20	the rest.
21	A. Telatron received the whole thing and then it
22	would pay off 28.57 percent.
23	Q. And after Telatron paid
24	A. You asked me for the meaning, Attorney Snyderman,
25	I told you what the meaning was.

	Page 9
1	Q. All right. And I'm entitled to follow up with
2	another question.
3	A. Please do.
4	Q. The question is: After you, or Telatron, received
5	100 percent of the gross revenues, the agreement that you
6	drafted provides, and was intended to provide, that 28.57
7	percent of those gross revenues gets paid to Delaware
8	Marketing, and what's left gets paid to Telatron, right?
9	A. Right. You asked me the intent of this thing and
10	the intent was that we would receive 100 percent of the
11	commission from Brazos. And as an expense item, we would
12	send 28.57 percent to Delaware Marketing.
13	Q. All right. And was it also the intent that the
14	rest of the money would stay with Telatron?
15	A. All the money stayed with Telatron and we paid an
16	expense from the money that we received from them.
17	Q. Isn't it a fact that your share
1.8	A. You asked me for the intent, and I have you the
19	intent.
20	Q. Wasn't it the intent that you would receive the
21	71.43 percent of the remaining gross revenues?
22	A. If we would have received 100 percent and we would
23	have paid an expense of 28.57 percent, then the difference,
24	whatever that number comes out to, would stay at Telatron.
25	Q. Why would you prepare an agreement that provides
1	

	Page 13
1	Q. Why not?
2	A. They're just not I just can't comprehend this
3	right now.
4	Q. Why?
5	A. I'm not feeling well, that's why.
6	Q. Okay. That's fair enough. Let me ask you another
7	question maybe that you can understand. I'm going to show
8	you a document that's marked as Kisiel No. 2, and you'll
9	notice that it's another Brazos document that refers to the
10	IRS. Do you see that?
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q. Is that a yes for the court reporter?
13	A. Yes. I see where it says that.
14	Q. Okay. Would this be another example, as far as
15	you can tell, of money that Brazos would have sent to the
16	IRS rather than to you?
17	A. I don't know what they meant. If it's the same
18	thing as the other one, it would be the same.
19	Q. Did you consider the money that Brazos paid
20	directly to the IRS to fall within the definition of gross
21	revenues received by Telatron?
22	A. Yeah. They're the same things as what we
23	accounted for as gross revenues.
24	Q. So the fact that you didn't receive the money
25	doesn't mean that it wasn't part of the gross revenues?

	Page 14
1	A. Absolutely, because we tracked gross revenues in
2	the general accounting. I made that point yesterday.
3	Q. But on an accrual basis.
4	A. Uh-huh.
5	Q. Yes? That's a yes, right?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. Are you still in the student loan consolidation
8	business?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you offer borrower benefits?
11	A. Yes I would think.
12	Q. What are borrower benefits?
13	A. They get, if they pay automatically from their
14	checking account, there's a certain benefit. And if they
15	pay on time after three or five years, there's a benefit.
16	So there are benefits. You're limited with respect to
17	Federal laws with respect to what you're allowed to do.
18	Q. Would you agree that an offer from the company
19	such as yours to a person who has student loans, would be
20	more attractive to that person if there were borrower
21	benefits than if there weren't borrower benefits?
22	A. That would be to the student, yes.
23	Q. I mean, you're not offering borrower benefits out
24	of the goodness of your heart?
25	A. Well, within the constraints of the borrower

Delaware Marketing Partners, LLC v. Creditron Financial Services, Inc., et al.

that it was you and nobody else who came up with the figure, 1 28.57 percent? 2 I don't remember that. 3 All right. It was you who directed that monies be Q. 4 sent to Delaware Marketing, right? In other words, if 5 Delaware Marketing received a wire from Telatron, it was 6 because you directed Kathy or somebody else to send it. 7 Yes. Α. 8 And it was you who determined the amount of the Q. 9 10 wire. Yes. Α. 11 All right. What formula did you use to come up 12 Ο. with the amount that you directed Kathy to send to Delaware 13 Marketing? 14 I don't remember. Α. 15 All right. Well, there were some wires that ended 0. 16 with 45 cents, so it's not like you said, send them \$10,000 17 or send them \$20,000. Wouldn't you agree that if you're 1.8 coming up with, and 45 cents, you're doing some calculation 19 to determine how much to send? 20 I don't remember. Α. 21

Q. Does that make sense to you, though?

23 A. You want a different answer, I don't remember.

24 I'm telling you that I don't know.

Q. Does it make sense to you that in your business

Alfred D. Covatto

Page 29 But because the results were lower than was Ο. 1 forecasted, you feel -- felt at the time and feel now that 2 you're not obligated to pay 28.57 percent of all gross 3 revenue and approved expenses, right? 4 That is true. Α. 5 Do you feel that the agreement that you 0. 6 drafted provides for you not having to pay the 28.57 percent 7 and your share of approved expenses if the results are less 9 than expected? MR. MARKHAM: Objection, calls for legal 10 conclusion. You can answer, if you can. 11 Would you repeat the question, please. Α. 12 Did you feel, and do you feel now, that the 13 Q. agreement that you drafted gave you the right to not pay the 14 28.57 percent? 15 I don't think you would put that in the agreement, 16 that's a post-agreement type of thing that comes up. 17 other words, we were supposed to have all this gross income 18 and we didn't get it. Now, you're asking me a different 19 question that comes after the agreement. I don't 20 understand. 21 Well, that would be a nice comeback on your part 22 if the money wasn't owed until 2006. But as far as post is 23 concerned, let's go pre instead of post and look at the fact 24

that not once, never, did you pay 28.57 percent to Delaware

25

	Page 30
1	Marketing. And let's look at the fact that according to
2	your wife's sworn testimony, it wasn't until November of
3	2003, just two or three months before they terminated the
4	agreement, that they found out for the first time from Joyce
5	Covatto that they weren't going to be received
6	28.57 percent.
7	So based on that and you understand what I'm
8	talking about, right? You understand what I've said so far?
9	A. I think so.
10	Q. Based on that, why do you feel, sitting here
11	today, that your company could allow Delaware Marketing
12	Partners to continue providing services from September of
13	2002 through September of 2003, October of 2003, and
14	November of 2003, expecting to receive and being told they
15	would receive, 28.57 percent only to then tell them, after
16	they've done the work, guess what, just kidding, we've not
17	going to pay you the 28.57 percent? Do you think that's
18	fair, ethical business practices on your part?
19	A. It's well, fair and equitable business
20	practices are that we relied on forecasts made by these
21	experts, and these forecasts weren't even close.
22	Q. You knew that in March
23	A. Do you thank that's fair?
24	Q. You knew that in March of 2003.
25	A. And?
1	

	Page 34
1	Q. Go ahead.
2	A. I said we were having difficulties with the
3	numbers prior to March. Everyone knew that.
4	Q. And in March of 2003, according to your testimony
5	yesterday, that's when you knew that
6	A. March or April, I said.
7	Q. March or April of 2003, that's when you knew and
8	you tied it to the direct mail that the results were not as
9	forecasted.
10	A. Yeah, that's true.
11	Q. Why didn't you terminate then?
12	A. I don't remember.
13	Q. You had
14	A. I'm sure there's some rationalization. You're
15	asking me a question, and I'm trying to be truthful.
16	(A. Covatto Deposition Exhibit No. 9 marked for
17	identification.)
18	Q. Here's an e-mail from Joyce Covatto to Harry
19	Metcalf dated April of '03.
20	A. Sure.
21	Q. "Will wire \$30,028 to the account today. It is in
22	process as we speak. We hope to soon be able to catch up as
23	soon as they get payment to us on a regular basis. Have not
24	heard from them yet re the other items."
25	Now, do you know who she's referring to when she

	Page 43
1	lien, those things take a long time to get satisfied. And I
2	don't know if it was satisfied then or what the deal was.
3	Q. And in November 25, 2002, there was another
4	Federal tax lien of \$245,520. So does that sound about
5	right? I mean, I can show you the record, if you want.
6	A. I'm sure whatever the record says.
7	Q. Would be correct. And did Creditron Financial
8	Services, Inc. have its own payroll?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So Creditron Financial Services, Inc. and
11	Creditron Financial Corporation had its own payroll.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did you feel, as the man in control of the money,
14	that you had the authority to decide which expenses among
15	the series of expenses would get paid at any given time?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. SNYDERMAN: Why don't we conclude now then,
18	because I need to talk with you in the few minutes
19	that remain about what the plans are for the rest
20	of the day and you can leave promptly at 9:30.
21	MR. MARKHAM: We can do that.
22	(Recess taken from 9:24 to 1:34 p.m.)
23	MR. SNYDERMAN: As previously agreed, before we
24	recessed at, approximately, 9:30 this morning, I
25	returned to Mr. Markham's office at,
